NIRAJ J. ANTANI

42ND DISTRICT

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASRUCTURE

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY AND FAMILY ADVANCEMENT

MINORITY AFFAIRS SUBCOMMITTEE

Type of Tax Cut

"Fracking Tax" @ 6.5%

Gap in cost of tax cuts and

revenue of tax increases

Total Tax Increases

No Early Pay Disc., Beer/Wine



Phio General Assembly

House of Representatives Columbus VERN RIFFE CENTER
77 SOUTH HIGH STREET
11TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS, OH 43215-6111

T: (614) 466-6504

F: (614) 719-6966

REP42@OHIIOHOUSE.GOV

4.54%

0.05%

85.72%

14.28%

MEMORANDUM

\$ of tax decreases (in millions)

To: Members of the House Republican Caucus

Re: The Case for Cutting Preventing Increased Spending

\$ 3,633.1	
\$ 994.5	
\$ 696.0	
\$ 372.0	
\$ 29.5	
\$ 5, 725.1	
\$ of Tax Increases (in millions)	% of Total Increases
\$ 318.4	5.56%
\$ 1,638.6	28.62%
\$ 192.8	3.37%
\$ 564.5	9.86%
\$ 175.4	3.06%
\$ 50.7	0.89%
\$ 720.9	12.59%
\$ 652.1	11.39%
\$ 1000	1.75%
\$ 39.0	0.68%
\$ 170.1	2.97%
\$ 22.3	0.39%
	\$ 994.5 \$ 696.0 \$ 372.0 \$ 29.5 \$ 5, 725.1 \$ of Tax Increases (in millions) \$ 318.4 \$ 1,638.6 \$ 192.8 \$ 564.5 \$ 175.4 \$ 50.7 \$ 720.9 \$ 652.1 \$ 100.0 \$ 39.0 \$ 170.1

Source: Blue Book. Compiled by Don Mottley, /Taft.

Many tax increases cost businesses & people a lot of money; however, as a % of total revenue raised by all increases, it contributes very little. 52% from CAT, cigarette & sales increases

259.9

817.4

\$ 4,907.7

3.0

NIRAJ J. ANTANI

42ND DISTRICT

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASRUCTURE

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY AND FAMILY ADVANCEMENT

MINORITY AFFAIRS SUBCOMMITTEE



Phio General Assembly House of Representatives Columbus

VERN RIFFE CENTER
77 SOUTH HIGH STREET
11TH FLOOR
COLUMBUS, OH 43215-6111

T: (614) 466-6504

F: (614) 719-6966

REP42@OHIIOHOUSE.GOV

GFR Recommended Appropriations (dollars in millions) State Only

			%		%
Function	FY 2015 Estimate	FY 2016	Change	FY 2017	Change
Primary and Secondary					
Education	\$7,256.00	\$7,697.20	6.10%	8041.60%	4.50%
Higher Education	\$2,379.90	\$2,428.30	2.00%	\$2,487.19	2.50%
Other Education	\$442.10	\$470.10	6.30%	\$478.10	1.70%
Medicaid	\$5,714.80	\$5,968.50	4.40%	\$6,334.00	6.10%
Health and Human Services	\$1,296.50	\$1,342.90	3.60%	\$1,362.40	1.50%
Justice and Public Protection	\$2,006.80	\$2,062.90	2.80%	\$2,116.70	2.60%
General Government	\$911.50	\$914.80	0.40%	\$938.00	2.50%
General Revenue Distributions	\$1,812.20	\$1,846.50	1.90%	\$1,877.10	1.70%
Total	\$21,819.70	\$22,731.00	4.20%	\$23,635.70	4.00%

- If spending were held stagnant at FY 2015 levels, with no increases, the state would save a total of \$2.727 Billion. This accounts for \$911 Million in FY16 and \$1.816 Billion in FY17. As a reminder, this is just state GRF money not total GRF, not all state funds, not all funds.
- This means if we were to just hold spending stagnant at FY 2015 and increase no taxes, we could pay for 47.6% of the tax cuts.
- Or, this means if we were to hold spending stagnant at FY 2015 and keep all the tax cuts at current proposed levels, we could prevent 55.6% of the tax increases.

Summary: There are other ways to pay for cutting taxes than cutting spending. We do not even have to consider cutting any spending to pay for most of these tax cuts; we just have to prevent increased spending.